Discrimination

(Revisiting Tajfel's minimal group studies)

Discrimination refers to an act, policy, practice, or social structure that creates, maintains, or reinforces an advantage for some groups and their members over other groups and their members.

Prejudice
stereotypes



Discrimination Around the World



MOST DISCRIMINATORY

2. SUDAN

3. NICARAGUA

LEAST DISCRIMINATORY

1. FINLAND

2. ESTONIA

3. SINGAPORE

World Justice Project



The map here demonstrates that many countries around the world fall in the middle of WJP's scale when it comes to equal rights and nondiscrimination.

overview

- **1. Introduction**
- 2. Background
- 3. Experiment
- 4. Discussion
- 5. Video (overview)
- 6. Conclusion

Introduction

- Understand what Tajfel's intention with this study.
- > Trial experiments of Tajfel's research.
- > The real experiment of Tajfel's Matrices.
- The results of Tajfel's Matrices.
- Results of the experiments.

Background

Henri Tajfes

Studied Chemistry from 1937-1939 (university of Toulouse)
 Born in Wloclawek, Poland (22.06.1919)
 A French captive brought to Germany in 1940
 Proposed the Theory of Social Identity
 Research at uni oxford (social Psychology)



Background

Henri Tajfes

Award

The Henri Tajfel Award recognises lifetime achievement contribution by a full member of the EASP

Critism

As a consequence of his inappropriate behaviour, the prestigious Tajfel Award has been renamed by the European Society for Social Psychology. (ESAP)

Instructions :

- 1. You will be randomly divided into 2 groups
- 2. Your group members are INGROUP MEMBERS
- 3. The opposite group members are OUTGROUP MEMBERS
- 4. You have 14 options to select one
- 5. Which goes on for 3 times with different aspects

both the members belong to your own group.

The numbers represents points to be assigned by you to other individuals. By choosing an option, you will be assigning the number of points in the top of the box to one person and the number in the bottom of the box to another person. BOTH THE MEMBERS BELONG TO YOUR OWN GROUP.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	自然就同
Member-1	-19	-16	-13	-10	-7	-4	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Member-2	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	-4	-7	-10	-13	-16	-19	

ID = s1963358@stud.uni-frankfurt.de Umfrage noch nicht gestartet

Outgroup – Outgroup

BOTH THE MEMBERS BELONG TO YOUR opposite GROUP.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	日常
Member-1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Member-2	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	

ID = s1963358@stud.uni-frankfurt.de Umfrage noch nicht gestartet

Ingroup – Outgroup



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Member-1 Ingroup	-14	-12	-10	-8	-6	-4	-2	-1	3	7	11	15	19	23
Member-2	29	19	15	11	7	3	-1	-2	-4	-6	-8	-10	-12	-14
Outgroup														
									3358@ age no					

(THE MINIMAL GROUP STUDIES)

Six factors out lined by Tajfel's and his colleagues.

- 1. No face-to-face interaction.
- 2. Complete anonymity.
- 3. No link between groups.
- 4. Respondents should not derive any value from their responses.
- 5. A strategy was designed between groups to obtain maximum benefit for all.
- 6. Responses should be made as important as possible.

(Under estimators and Over estimators)

- 64 boys were made into separate groups of 8.
- Estimation of no-of-dots on the screen.



Assessing the effects of categorization on Intergroup behavior

- Dividing the boys into 2 equal groups (over estimators-under Estimators).
- Assigning Rewards and penalties to others in real money (without knowing their Identity)
- Indicating their choices by checking one box in each matrix.
- There were three types of matrices (ingroup, outgroup and Intergroup).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Member-1 Ingroup	-14	-12	-10	-8	-6	-4	-2	-1	3	7	11	15	19	23
Member-2	29	19	15	11	7	3	-1	-2	-4	-6	-8	-10	-12	-14
Outgroup														

Results:

- During intergroup choices, more points ingroup members, less points-Out group members.
- Intergroup Discrimination is found clearly.
- In contrast, the in group and out group choices were closely distributed about the point of fairness.

48 boys were used as subjects without prior acquaintance

٠

۰

- Choosing between Paul Klee and Wassily Kandansky's Paintings.
- Randomly divided into groups (Klee and Kandinsky).
- Assigning Rewards and penalties to others in real money (without knowing their Identity)



- The matrices allowed the experimentor to investigate 3 Varialbles.
- Maximum-joint profit.
- Maximum-Ingroup Profit.
- Maximum-Difference.

	Ingroup	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
1D	Outgroup	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23	25

MIP MJP

Results:

- maximum joint profit was neglected.
 - MD+MIP > MJP

٠

- MD > MJP + MIP
- Maximum difference strategy was noticed.

Discussion

Are the results of trial and Tajfel's experiments comparable ? Discussion – 5Min



ID = s1963358@stud.uni-frankfurt.de Umfrage noch nicht gestartet



PSYCHOLOCY D N D E M A N D

Conclusion

- 1. Tajfel demonstrated that it is the "US vs THEM" mentality, which creates Discrimination.
- 2. Just the mere act of Categorization is enough to create Discrimination.
- 3. The more preference is given to In-group members than out-group.
- 4. choices were not made to maximise everyone's winnings but to maximise their group.



References

- 1. Tajfel, H. (1973) 'social categorization and similarity in intergroup behaviour'
- Smith, J. R., & Haslam, S. A. (Eds.). (2017). Social psychology: Revisiting the classic studies (2nd edition). SAGE.
- 3. AS Psychology (karoo.net)
- 4. <u>https://youtu.be/FgCnrTh3Vv4</u>
- 5. (615) Tajfel's Social Identity Theory and Prejudice YouTube



