**Three-Minute Thesis**

**1. Comprehension**

Did the presentation help the audience understand the research?

Did the presenter clearly outline the nature and aims of their research?

Do you know what is significant about this research?

Did the presentation follow a logical sequence?

**2. Engagement**

Did the oration make the audience want to know more?

Was the presenter careful not to trivialise or dumb down their research?

Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their work?

Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?

Would I like to know more about the speaker's research?

**3. Communication style**

Was the topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?

Did the speaker use sufficient eye contact and vocal range, maintain a steady pace, and a confident stance?

Did the speaker explain terminology that needed to be used and provide adequate background information to illustrate points?

Did the presenter spend the right amount of time on each element of their presentation – or did they elaborate for too long or were rushed?

Did the visual background enhance, rather than detract from, their presentation – was it clear, legible, and concise?